

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,586	01/10/2002	Tatsuhiro Tomari	107348-00191	5221	
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			LORENCE, RICHARD M		
Suite 400 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington DC 20036-5339			3681		

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
<b>√1</b>	
1)	
_	

	Applicati	on N .	Applicant(s)					
	10/041,5	86	TOMARI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Г	Art Unit					
	Richard N	1. Lorence	3681					
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period f r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventual control of the state	ent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty ( vill expire SIX (6) MONTH olication to become ABA	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comi	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>22 October 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.						
<ol> <li>Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ol>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-22 is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to resti	are withdrawn from co							
Application Papers		- 1						
9) The specification is objected to by	he Evaminer							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any ob				•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form PTC	)-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office act 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was include 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign I 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first see	ty documents have been to documents have been to documents have been to documents have been to find a list of the certain for domestic priority under the first sentence anguage provisional and for domestic priority under the domestic priority under the first sentence and for domestic priority under the documents of the document	en received. en received in Appents have been rele 17.2(a)). diffied copies not related to the specificate pplication has been ander 35 U.S.C. §	plication No eceived in this National Seceived. 119(e) (to a provisional action or in an Application Den received. § 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-1					

- Application/Control Number: 10/041,586

Art Unit: 3681

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's remarks accompanying the response filed on October 22, 003 have been considered and are well taken. The objections and rejections set forth in the previous Office action are withdrawn. Claims 1-23 remain pending.

Claims 12-22 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 23 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,572 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the claims is readable on the embodiment

Art Unit: 3681

shown both in Figure 5 of the present application and the embodiment shown in Figure 5 of the patent. Claim 23 of the present application is merely a broader recitation of the device set forth in the above mentioned claims of the patent in that claim 23 does not require the pressure part of the armature specified in claim 1 of the patent. The location of the armatures relative to the respective coils would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the limitations recited in any one of the dependent claims 4, 5, 7 and/or 8 of the patent.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (703) 308-3062. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703) 308-0830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

- Application/Control Number: 10/041,586

Art Unit: 3681

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Richard M. Lorence Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

Lorence/rml December 4, 2003